

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P 10E (1907-08
Gar. in)

File No. 157-08.

Serial Nos. 10-11.

Papers Filed.

Month November 1911.

SUBJECT.

Sanctions the payment of Rs 25/- as fees to Mr.
M. A. Krishna Deygari, for defending ~~a suit~~
the interest of Govt. in the Man Mumbure
village. Manginud 79.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

Ch 49-11-881-2. B. Sept 11.
9.7 40-05.

LATER REFERENCES.

S. Kappanna.
27.11.11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(G. 7.)

NOTES

File No. 157-08

FILE HEADING.

Court cost incurred in defending the interest of Govt of Govt in the Mam Tumbnerle village in the Nanjunge Taluk.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt.

No. 469.
2

Dated 8/1/1910.

Received

Diary No.

Enclos.

Spare Copies.

Serial No. 10.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards copy of letter no 36 & 28/12/1909 from the Public Prosecutor Mysore on account of ~~the~~ ^{Rs. 50/-} fees payable to him in filing a Mis-application to defend the interest of Govt in the Mam Tumbnerle village in the Nanjunge Taluk and soliciting orders of Govt as to the amount payable to the Public Prosecutor

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Public Prosecutor presents a bill for Rs 50/- while the Sub Judge has countersigned the bill only Rs 25/- with the remark: 'as nothing was done on behalf Govt beyond filing a claim petition. I consider a fee of Rs 50/- which is the maximum allowed by Law heavy'.

NOTES—First Sheet.

2

Serial
No.

In reply to Mysore Dy. Commr's letter n^o 52 of 31.7.19,
he was informed that the question "is engaging
the attention of Govt"

Subd with a draft for orders. Br III may
perhaps be consulted.

N. 36

28/9

28/9

Where are the notes leading to
the reply the first to D. C. Mysore

28/9

not in file
file with
note of 27/11
28/9

Subd. Please see routine notes below. Signed M.

Br III in favor of opinion whether
the Public Prosecutor's contention
that Rs 50 is correct or whether the
draft in the file is correct

Br III)

10.11

J. M. S. 54

In a ~~similar~~ case, only the fees
awarded by the Court (Rs 25) was
allowed to Mr Krishnamangar, on
the interpretation that in a
suit in the District and
Subjidges' Courts, the Court
may award a fee not exceeding
Rs 50 under Rule 4 of the

2

Serial
No.

In reply to Mysore Dy. Commr's letter n^o 52 of 31.7.19,
he was informed that the question "is engaging
the attention of Govt"

Subd with a draft for orders. Br III may
perhaps be consulted.

N. 36

28/9

28/9

Where are the notes leading to
the reply the first to D. C. Mysore
28/9

not in it
file
re. n^o 36
27/11

Subd. Please see routine notes below flagged M.

Br III in favour of opinion whether
the Public Prosecutor's contention
that Rs 50 is correct or whether the
draft in the file is correct

Br III)

1.10.11

J. M. 5-54

In a ~~similar~~ ^{similar} case, only the fees
awarded by the ^{Dist} Court (Rs 25) was
allowed to Mr Krishnamangar, on
the interpretation that in a
suit in the District and
Subjidges' Courts, the Court
may award a fee not exceeding
Rs 50 under Rule 4 of the

Serial
No.

Rules printed at pages 41 to 43
of the Civil Digest.

~~Acz-122~~ ~~SA(11)~~ which is the case
13-10-11 15/10/11 referred to above?

Put it up if available. Put up
also a copy of the Rules dated
16th March 1906 referred to in
the Public Prosecutors' letter -

15-10-11

Will

Cts 49-11.

Subd Please see linked file
below. G.O. of 16.3.1911 will also
be found in that file (Hagw).

~~Acz-122~~
18-10-11.

~~CB (58)~~
18/10/11

The fee allowed by the
Court may be raised

31/10/11. *SB*

T. B. S.

Submitted. The draft already put up. may be
approved.

~~7-36~~

2/11/1911 *W. H. H.*

See by reference

alt file

seen for 2/11/11
done 2.11.11

4

G-7 File No. 157 of 1908-09

Serial
No. 11

To The Dy Commr Mysore dist
& Comptroller
no: H 1890-1 D 6/11/11
Sanctions the payment of
Rs 25/only as fees to Mr. M. A.
Krishnalingar.

①

15788-10

for In

No. 469
Enclosure No. 2

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commissioner,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated, Mysore 8th January, 1910.
Camp

D-2613

FROM

A. K. K. Thara; Esq., B.A.,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

MYSORE DISTRICT.

TO,

J. S. Chakravarti Esq. M. A. F. R. A. S.
Financial Secretary

to Government of Mysore:

SIR,

Referring to the General and Revenue

W

Secretary's letter No. 41398-GL. Fin: 40-05 dated 17.7.1906 re the Court costs incurred in defending the interest of Government in the Inam Tummale village in the Nanjangud Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 36 dated 28-12-1909, together with a bill in original, received from the Public Prosecutor of Mysore on account of fees payable to him in filing a Dis: Application before the Court and to state that while he claims a fee of Rs. 50/- the

Court

Rev. D.
7907-08


2

Court has awarded only a half of it for reasons recorded in the bill.

I solicit sanction of Government for whatever amount the Government are pleased to approve under the circumstances of the case. The amount if sanctioned will be paid out of the net Revenue of the village now under Government management.

The bill of costs viz:- 11-8-2 incurred for filing the application has been referred to the Sub. Judge for verification and countersignature and after receipt will be paid for in this office as desired in the Secretary's letter under reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant


Joydutta Das
Deputy Commissioner
Nymedistrict

4.7.157-08

(3)

Sl 10

NO: 36 En. one bill and Fees for Rs. 50.

Dated Mysore 28th December 1909.

From.

M.A. Krishna Iyengar
Public Prosecutor
Mysore Division.

To.,

The deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Sir.

With reference to your docket NO: 1366 dated 1-10-08 I have the honor to enclose herein a bill for Rs. 50 being my fees for filing and conducting Mis Case NO: 75 of 1906-07 claimant Deputy Commissioner Mysore District ~~Mr~~ Gurikar Madappa and others in regard to inam ~~Zoombanerle~~ village in the Nanjangud Taluk and to request that the amount claimed there in may be paid to me.

The Maximum fee awardable by Courts for such cases is Rs. 50 under Rule 4 printed at page 42 of the Mysore Civil Digest and I am entitled to this sum under clause (5) of rule 7 of Government Proceedings No. 1932-85. J. 137 of 02-03 dated 16th March 1904. The case was filed before and disposed of by ~~Mr~~ Mr. Mir Humza Hussain then Sub Judge. The present Sub Judge Mr. Krishnaswami Iyengar to whom I sent the bill for countersignature to verify the fact of my having filed and conducted the case by reference to the records thereof, considers that Rs. 25 should be paid to me. This is contrary to the rules referred to ~~above~~ above, I therefore request that Rs. 50 claimed in the bill may be paid to me. The bill of expenses incurred in the case was forwarded with my letter NO:

4
NO: 33 dated 27-12-09.

I have the honour to be.

Sir.

Your most obedient servant.

SD/) M. A. Krishna Iyengar.

Public Prosecutor

Mysore. Division.

"C O P Y".


For Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

S.N.


Diary No. of letter replied to _____ 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.
()

READ--

the

19

Correspondence ending
with the letter of 26/5/11/8/11

Date of issue

6th Nov 11

re the fees claimed by Mr. M. A.

Signed by

W. L. Narasimha

Krishna Rao Advocate Mysore
in connection with defending the

interests of folk in the Inam Zamburda
village, Nanjund Taluk.

Order No. 1890-1
of 6th Nov 11
The Advocate Mr. M. A.

Krishna Rao claims Rs 50/- the
over


SUBJECT.

copied
11/11/11

Maximum amount allowed as fees.

while the subject is ~~in~~ Mysore
has countersigned the bill for Rs 25/-
on the ground that nothing was done
beyond filing a claim petition.

Under the ~~circumstances~~
the Govt are pleased to sanction
the payment of Rs 25/- (twenty
five rupees only) as fees to
Mr. A. Krishnarao. ~~for the~~
~~same conducted by him~~


J. H. Secretary

7.36

28/9-22
M.H. 7

To The Dy. Commr. Mysore. ST-with-bill

The Comptroller, to the Govt